

[5.]

A  
LETTER  
TO A FREIND,

*Shewing,*  
The illegall proceedings of  
the two Houses of PARLIAMENT:

*And observing GOD's aversenesse to  
their Actions.*

Which caused the Authours returne to the  
KING and His Allegiance.

---



---

LONDON,  
Printed in the yeare M. DC. XLV;

A  
LETTER  
TO A FRIEND

The small proceedings of

and during the day

Which caused the Authors to be  
K 1 2 3 and 4

---

Printed in the year M D C L X.



A Letter to a Freind, shewing the illegall proceedings of the Two Houses  
of PARLIAMENT.

*Cosen,*



You know how earnestly, and with what charge I opposed the illegall demands of Ship money and loanes, how I hated Court *Monopolies*, and arbitrary Justice in the *Star-chamber*, *Council Table*, and *Marshall's Court*, how I abhorred the exorbitance of the High Commission; all which the King readily rectified in the begining of this Parliament.

You know with what cheerefullnesse I tendred my purse (my person being unserviceable) for the Reformation of Religion, the suppressing Popery, the establishment of our liberties and properties, the removing of evill Counsellors, the reducing the King to his great Counsell the Parliament, and the uniting of the Sister Countries in a Brotherly Union.

You remember how willingly we declared and protested, that for the safety of the Kings person, the defence of the Houses of Parliament, the Protestant Religion established, the Lawes, Liberty, and Peace of the Kingdome, we would

live and dye with the Earle of *Essex*. And this being first commanded by the houses of Parliament, then seconded by the Pulpit, carried the face of Law and the voice of Religion, so that with us was all *Israel* from *Dan* to *Beerseba*.

We possesse all the walled Cities, while the King like *David* in the mountaines had not a Towne to retreate to. We had thousands of the choice men strongly armed, while the King had only a handfull of our law'd Cavaliers (as we call them) and them naked, not a musket, scarce a sword among them. We had all the Crowne revenue, all the City plate to bodkins and thimbles, even talents of gold and silver, and the King scarce enough to buy his dinner. Our magazines swelled with Armes, Ordnance, and Ammunition of all sorts; while the King (the Houses having seized his,) wanted all. And lest he should have supplies from beyond-Sea, we possesse all his navie, all his Port-Townes, and lest him not a Cock-boate, not a Haven. And better then all these, we had the advantage of a just Cause, fighting for God, and reformation of Religion (as our Preachers taught us) for the defence of the Kings Person, our Lawes, the properties and liberties (as the two Houses told us) of Subjects, and these backed with the pious Fastes and humiliations of Sanctified Congregations, with humble and earnest prayers for successe.

And could so just a Cause, so piously mannaged, by such religious Patriots, can such miscarry or want successe? Especially, while on the other-side the Kings small Army was unarmed, Idolatrous and Popish Cavaliers, their Cause justifying of Idolatry, Popery, Superstition, maintenance of Bishops, Rebellion against the Parliament, and Subversion of the fundamentall lawes; their prayers (if any) for successe but supplications out of a Popish Liturgy. And what can such Armies, such Persons, such a Cause, such Prayers expect but destruction? Thus

Thus both Pulpit and Parliament misse-led me, untill of late I considered the successe of both sides, and do you weigh them indifferently, and then tell me where we can boast a Victory? For if we consider the Battailles we most brag of, those at *Keinton, Alresford, Lansdowne, Torke, Newbury*, we shall finde the successe such, as if heaven rather intended both sides vanquished, then us Victors.

On the other side examine the Actions at *Runawaydowne, Newbury, Newarke, Cropredy, Lestishiell, Pontfract*, and elsewhere, weigh the disproportions and disadvantages the Kings Armies fought with, and then view their successe, and you will finde it so farre beyond the expectation of reason, as it is scarce within the reach of our beleife. Inquire what plenty of Men, Armes, Ordinance, great Townes and strong Holds the King now hath, and so many have we lost. Consider how many thousands of men, how many armies my Lord of *Essex*, my Lord of *Manchester*, and Sir *William Waller* have lost, how much treasure they have exhausted, how our navy is decayed, how many of our ships and men drowned, while we had no enemy at Sea but heaven? Nay how many of our Ships with their lading have the windes (siding against us) carried in to the Kings aide, and our destruction? And can these argue lesse then Gods displeasure against our proceedings?

These ill successes made me looke backe upon our State Actors, that sit at the helme and direct all things, and among them even those that were best reputed of, for Reformation and integrity to the Common-wealth: as Master *Hampden*, that first raised Armes against the King, when (as we thought) out of danger, you shall finde him shot in *Chalgrave* field where the year before he had first taken up his Armes. You may see Patriot *Pym*, whom the people for his Speech applaud like *Herod*, like *Herod* eaten up of Lice,

The Lord *Brooke* (armed as Death could not enter him, and at a distance danger could not reach ) breathing out threats against the Church, is before the Church with a single bullet shot in the eye. My Lord *Say* (whom heaven cannot hurt, if the plot hold,) hath one Sonne scorned for being a Coward in so good a bad Cause, his other Sonne condemned to be hanged for being honeste then his Father in delivering *Bristol*. Sir *John Hotbom* and his sonne, whom the Houses justified for treason against the King, the Houses (to maintaine their priviledge) execute first the Sonne, then the Father by a Court of Warre for thinking to be honest. And as if the same method were to be observed for the whole House, they are hanging young *Waineman* to come to my Lord his Father. The Earle of *Essex* whom the first yeare they salute with *Hosanna's*, passe votes for histhanks and trophies, but now decrue, and as much as they dare scorne and neglect him. *Warwicke* & *Manchester*, (like *Tinker Fox*, and rag-man *Phips*) must now be squeezed (as oranges) to make sauce for the Juncto palates, they are rich. Others there be deserve observation. While I contemplated these great active men and their misfortunes, I could not thinke them lesse then farthing sparrows, which fall not without the Divine Providence, & therefore strictly examined our Cause by the rules of Law and Gospell, and in a matter of this consequence I have taken the best opinions both of Divines and Lawyers, and of both the most moderate, yet such as were rather engaged on ours, then on the Kings side.

All the Divines agree, our Kings to have their power from God, and therefore Saint *Peter* commands obedience to Kings as to the *Supream*; Saint *Paul* to the higher powers for there is no power but from God, and therefore (saith he) pay ye tribute. And they observe that these commands were to  
*Christians,*

*Christians*; the obedience commanded to be given to *Pagans*, to heathenish Kings, as the *Romans*, those of *Pontus*, *Asia*, *Bithynia*, &c. The Divines observe that Saint *Peters* Epistle was to Strangers in *Pontus*, *Galatia*, &c. not natives, they tyed only by a locall alleageance, we by a locall, by a native, by a sworne alleageance, they to a heathenish, we to our annointed Christian King.

All Divines agree *Christ* would not have his Church his Gospell planted by any blood, but his owne, and therefore would not suffer Saint *Peter* to strike, to rescue him his King, his God. *Christ* then will not that his vine should be drest, his Church reformed with the blood of Christians. Yet now our reformed Religion permits Subjects (Jesuite-like) to fight against their King, for the propagation of the Gospell. And that *all things be done* (as the Apostle directs) *decently and in order*, they have suppressed all Church-Government, and left almost every man to be his owne Bishop, and if he will his owne Preist. The Booke of Common-Prayer composed by all the Clergy of England, and they legally called, confirmed and sealed by their blood, and commanded by severall Parliaments for these 100 yeares, yet now on a sudden voted downe as Popish. But not one particular exception to any one thing in the Liturgy.

But a set forme of Prayer is a binding of the Spirit, and therefore our new *Directory* ties not the Spirit to words (for the cloven tongues speake all languages) but the *Assembly of Godly Divines*, prescribes only the matter or effect of their prayer, lest the spirit beeing at too much liberty should pray against the Close Committee and their proceedings.

The ten Commandements and Communion (as restraining our Christian Liberty and *Judaicall*) the Creed (not yet rectified according to the sence of the house) as erroneous; the Epistles and Gospels (fitted to the Celebration



bration of their severall dayes) as Popish, according to the Discipline of the Kirke of *Scotland*, are all excommunicated. So that Now in our new Reformed Church we have neither good Commanded, nor evill prohibited, no faith confest, nor good example to imitate.

¶ The Reading Psalmes had beene totally banished the Church, because written by a King; but in respect *David* was a Prophet too they are left to the wisdom of the Minister, to read if he will. But the better Meetre of *Hopkins* and *Sternbold*, because composed by Commoners, are commanded to be sung, to waken the sleepe devotion of the otherwise mute Congregation. I pray thee pardon mee, that I a little sport with our misery; but 'tis in private, and onely to thee.

¶ All the Lawyers I have spoken with (except *Corbet* and Master *Prideaux*) unanimously agree that all Ordinances made by one or both Houses of Parliament without the King's assent are (like man without the breath of life) handsome models, but uselesse: and that all things done or acted by colour or direction of those Ordinances are illegall and invalid, and that there is neither president to warrant, nor reason to maintaine them.

And that both our ancient and moderne Lawes were made by the King, but advised and consented to by both Houses; all which appeares clearly in the penning of our Ordinances and Acts of Parliament, even from *H. 3.* untill within these two yeares; for they run thus: *The King at the instance of his great men provided and ordeined that, &c.* And that manner of penning held untill *R. 2.* and then *The King by assent of the Lords, and at the request of the Commons ordaines and establishest, &c.* And all the following Parliaments, even this present in the Act for the Trienniall Parliament uses the same words, *Be it enacted by the King, with the consent of the Lords*



*Lords and Commons.* So that in all ages the King made the law, the Lords and Commons doe but advise and consent thereunto, and custome (that is, a great part of our law) will not that any old law be abrogated, or a new law made, but by the King with the Consent of the two Houses; and they are all confident that Master *Pryn* cannot shew any one Ordinance made without the Kings assent, nor any one booke or any ancient opinion that they might; nay the very practice of the Houses is against it; insomuch as nothing is of record with them but what hath life by the Kings assent. So as if a Bill hath passed in both Houses, yet that if the Sessions of Parliament end before the Kings assent had to that Bill, the next Sessions the same Bill must be as at first thrice read in both Houses, and againe, have all the formalities and circumstances as it had the first Sessions; for they cannot this Sessions take notice of their owne Actions in that before.

The Knights, Citizens, and Burgessees, are but Attornies or Deputies for their severall Countiees, Cities, and Boroughs, and therefore they cannot (as Barons which sit in their owne right) make a Deputy or party to consent or act for them: because by law an Atturmy cannot make an Atturmy, & assigne the power and trust to another which is reposed in himselfe, and therefore cannot make Committees in severall Countiees to raise armes, to commit their fellow Subjects, &c. Nor can Attornies exceed or alter the power given them by their deputation or letter of Atturmy, but must follow that. And what the power and authority given them is appears in their Indenture betweene the Shriffe and those that elect them: which is but according as the Kings writ requires, & not power to doe what they list, as appears by *Crompt. Juris. fol. 2.*

The constant practice and course of Courts best shewes the power and jurisdiction of the Court, (say the Lawyers)

and they averre that there is not any one Ordinance of Parliament to be found made by the Lords and Commons without the Kings assent. And surely had the two Houses such power, the Parliament of *Edw. 2.* *Rich. 2.* and *Hen. 6.* so bitter against the King, would have found both the precedent, and made use of the power.

Let these therefore that are so ready to raise armes without the Kings assent, nay contrary to His Command, nay even against His Person, let them consider, that in *Rich. 2.* where his Barons of Parliament and others by colour and in pursuance of an Ordinance of Parliament, whereby *Hugh De le Spencers* were banished and to be proceeded against as enemies to the King and Kingdome in case they did returne; The Sonne returning to the King, the Barons and others pretending that the *De le Spencers* could not be legally attainted by proceffe of law, because they (the *De le Spencers*) had usurped the Royall power, and therefore in case of necessity (for so is the Booke of old *Mag. Char. sel. 54.*) mutually bound themselves by oath, (as we by our Protestations) and with Armes and banners displayed persue the *De le Spencers*, and kill and imprison divers of the Kings Subjects, and take their Townes, Castles, Houses, &c. and all without the Kings assent, (as ours doe) for which they were glad to take a pardon, (as ours would be of an act of oblivion the *Scotch* word for a generall pardon) for that oath, their armes, &c.

Touching the opinion raised this Parliament, that the two Houses are above the King, and therefore the King ought and must passe such Bills, such Acts as they resolve and offer to Him.

That (say the Lawyers) is totally false and against all reason, law, and practice in all ages. And in considering thereof they have not beene led by the Pamphlets published this Parliament on either side, because such (like Schoole-Disputants)

putants) rather endeavour to maintaine their Position and side, then to discover truth: therefore the Lawyers grounded their judgement upon bookes formerly written, upon precedents of moderate times, when the Kings Prerogative and Subjects Liberty both knew and kept their proper bounds.

The Parliament (say they) of the 24 Hen. 8. cap. 12. Declares, That *the Realme of England is an Empire governed by one Supream Head and King, having the Dignity and estate of the Imperiall Crowne, unto whom a body politique compact of all sorts and degrees of people by the name of the Spirituality and Temporality beene bound, and owe next to God a naturall and humble obedience, being by Gods goodnesse endued with plenary whole and entire power, authority and Jurisdiction within His Realme.*

This body politique no doubt is the two Houses of Parliament, and doubtlesse then the two Houses owe this naturall and humble obedience, and then sure if the Servant be not greater then his Master to whom he owes his obedience, the Creature then his Creatour, then the two Houses that (as appears by their owne act for the continuance of this Parliament) are called by the King, and by Him dissolvable, are not above the King, that is their breath and gives life to all their Actions.

And if the King be by God endued with plenary power, entire authority and Jurisdiction. Consider from whom can the two Houses have their power, their authority, and Jurisdiction to be above that given by God.

In Caudries case in the 5 Report. fol. 10. the King is said to be the Vicar of the Highest King, ordained to governe and rule the Kingdome and people.

The Parliament in the 25 Hen. 8. acknowledged the Jurisdiction of Kings to be immediately from God.

The Statute of the 26 of Hen. 8. cap. 1. declares the King

to be the *only* Supream Head in Earth under God of the Church of England, and that he hath Power to redresse and reforme all Errors and abuses in the Church.

In the 26 Hen.8.cap.3. the Parliament declares, that the King is the *only* Supream Head under God of the Church of England, having the whole Governance, tuition and defence thereof, and of His Subjects.

And consonant to that is our Statute, 1 Eliz. and in our Oath of Alleagiance, (ordained by Act of Parliament) we and especially the Members of the House of Commons acknowledge and sweare, That the Kings Highnesse is the *only* Supream Governour of the Realme, both in Ecclesiasticall and Temporall causes. And our Lawyers say, that the King being the Supream Governour cannot have any Governour naturall or politique, (as the two Houses) above him; and as he is the *only* Supream Governour must needs be above all other Governours either naturall or politique.

By the same Oath we sweare allegiance to Him and His Heires and Successours, which must needs be to his Naturall capacity, for his Politique cannot have Heires. And in the case of the Dutchy of Lancaster, Plowd. 213. It is resolved that the body politique of the King cannot be severed from his naturall body. And then it is ridiculous to thinke, much more to say, that the politique capacity of the King is included in the two Houses of Parliament, when his naturall is absent and dissenting to what they doe.

If the two Houses could make a Law or Ordinance to binde the Subject without the Kings assent, why should not all the Bills that passed both Houses but had not the Kings assent, why should not they be good and valid, and binde the Subject? they had the Votes of both Houses when full and entire, they had more consideration, more circumstance, all necessities (but the Kings assent) to the making of a law; yet these

these Bills in all ages have beene held naught and invalid. And shall the votes of the two Houses upon motion of a worthy Member (though not a 5<sup>th</sup> part of either House be present) and without the Kings assent, shall they make a good Ordinance to repeale five Statutes in the Reignes of *Edw. 6.* and *Queene Eliz.* as in the Ordinance for the Directory, and the Booke of Common Prayer: all which have stood unquestioned these 100 yeares, and in which time we have had 20 Parliaments, in which our now Parliament-mens Fathers and Grand-fathers were *Members*, and (I beleive) as wise, as honest, and as Religious, as their Sonnes and Grand-children, and they approved, they practiced and followed that Liturgy.

The Parliament 1 *Jacob. cap. 1.* prays the Kings Royall assent to a Bill, without which nothing (say they) *can be compleate and perfect, nor remaine to posterity.* And Cowell (who writ about 40 yeares since) speaking of the regality of the King, comprised under the title of *Prerogative*, *There is not one (saith he) that belonged to the most absolute Prince in the world, which doth not also belong to our King, onely by the custome of this Kingdome he maketh no lawes without the consent of the three Estates*, [Lords spirituall, Lords temporall, and the Commons] *though HE MAY QUASH ANY LAW CONCLUDED BY THEM.* Then how shall the Votes and Ordinances of a small part of the House be good, when against *Magn. Char.* against the Petition of Right, against our Allegiance and Protestation? Yet must we venture our lives to murder our brothers, and fellow Subjects, or they us, or both, to maintaine what they vote, though against Law, contrary to the Gospell, and without Precedent. But the two Houses doe but endeavour to take the King from His Evill Counsellours, to bring him to his Parliament, where he ought to be present & resident, or else they may force him yea eradicate three cares

of Wheate to destroy one of tares.

For that (say the Lawyers) there is no precedent, no booke unlesse writ within these two yeares. But *Master Hooker*, aliàs *Powell*, (who writ about the beginning of Queene *Elizabeth*. & is much quoted by *Master Pryn*) writing the manner of holdings of Parliaments (as we may see in *Hollinshed*, 2 part. fol. 121) saith, that the King is Gods Anointed, his Deputy and Vicar on earth, the Head of his Realme, the Cheifest Ruler, on whom wholly and onely depends the Government of the Estates of the Realme. That the King ought to be personally present in parliament three daies in every Parliament; first, on the day of appearance; secondly, on the day when the Speaker of the House of Commons is presented; the third, when the Parliament is prorogued. And for other daies (saith the Booke) he is at his choice, to come or not to come.

And it appeares by the Statute of 33 *Hen. 8. cap. 21*. That if the King be absent from the Parliament, he might alwaies give his Royall assent by Commission under the Great Seale, and by that it seemes he had liberty to be absent if he would.

When *Rich. 2.* refused to come to his Parliament, the Lords (that threatned to depose him) onely averred, that by an old Ordinance of Parliament if the King absented himselfe forty daies, then they might every man returne quietly to his owne house, and that they would doe so if he came not; but they pretend no law to raise armes, to compell the King to come. And surely had there beene any colour to justifie it they would not have omitted it, and if there had beene any law or precedent (though by Popish Parliaments) since *Ric. 2.* time to raise armes or make lawes without the Kings assent, *Master Pryn's* Zeale to the Cause and hatred to the King would have found it before now.

Since therefore that the two Houses cannot without the  
Kings



Kings assent make a new nor abrogate an old law, cannot without the Kings assent raise armes to execute a person condemned by Parliament with the Kings assent (as in *Hu. De le Spencers case*) but they must have a pardon for it. In what case are we, that have without the Kings assent, nay contrary to his expresse Command, contrary to *Mag. Char.* contrary to our Petition of Right, 3<sup>o</sup> *Car.* by armes taken the 10<sup>th</sup> part of every mans estate, imprisoned their persons, imposed new loanes, and new impositions, hanged Citifens and Gownemen, contrary to the Priviledges of Parliament, executed even Members of the Parliament by Martiall law, and at the parliament doore, while the Houses of Parliament were sitting, the Kings Bench (as we pretend) open at *Westminster*, the Gaole-delivery for *London* and *Middlesex* in the *Old Bailey*: Nay contrary to our Petition of Right, & our Statute made this Parliament, have we not in the Kings name because we could not in our owne, pressed our fellow Subjects, and by armes compelled them to fight: Contrary to their sworne allegiance and vowed Protestation, which is to maintaine the reformed Protestant Religion, expressed in the Doctrine of the Church of *England*; Yet we fight to turne out the Liturgy, and prayers ordained by the whole Church of *England*, and which we have long knowne to make roome for the extemporary and unknowne prayer of a single man, and him often unlearned, sometimes debauched, and this according to the Kirke of *Scotland*, not of *England*: to defend the Kings Royall Person, His Honour, His Estate; yet we iustifie them that fight against him, that permit nay licence libellous Pamphlets against him and his honour, that robbe his Exchequer by receiving and keeping from him his Revenew: to maintaine the Priviledges of Parliament; yet hale the Members to prison, nay to execution by Martiall Law: to Maintaine the lawfull Rights and Liberties of  
the



*the Subject*, yet fight to have our Estates, Liberties, and Lives taken away by Votes, Ordinances, and Martiall law, and against the Kings command. We have protested to preserve the *peace of England, Scotland, and Ireland*, yet fight here among our selves to annihilate and breake the Cessation of Armes and the peace there, and send for the *Scots* hither to robbe, murder, and (if God be not better to us then we to our selves) utterly subdue and inslave us, to set up and enrich themselves.

By what law can the *Scots* prescribe us a Church government? by what law have they (our *Homagers*) a voice in the settling of our *Militia*, and the lawes of our Nation?

To conclude, we fight to save a few close-Committee men, our State-actours that have perswaded and voted us, and intorced the poore common souldiers to commit rapine, bloud-shed, Sacriledge, and Rebellion, to protect them, who with *Shimei*, reviled and flung stones and dust at *David* by their Declarations and Pamphlets, who by their Remonstrances and Votes endeavoured to discover with *Cham* the nakednesse of their father, who following the Counsel of *Achitophel*, have in the sight of all *Israel* lyen with *Dauids* Concubines, by usurping his Authority and Royall power. We fight to secure them, whom an act of oblivion cannot, and therefore must have the *Militia* at their sole dispose, that the swords, and lives of the poore souldiers may protect, whom the law cannot justify. We fight to make *London* an Independent City, to make the Maior Aldermen and Common-Councell a third House of Parliament, and give them *the Tower of London, with the Militia of the City and Suburbs*, lest the King being but Gods Vicar on earth their only supreme Governour and Sovereigne Lord, as severall Acts of Parliaments have declared, lest he should rebell against them his native, his locall and his  
sworne

sworne Subjects. We fight to abolish the ten Commandments, the Creed, the Epistles and Gospels, because not consistent with the *Scotts* Presbyteriall Discipline. What one act of Charity or Mercy have these reformers of Religion done? Where have they offered to the King to part with any thing of any pretended right, liberty, or privilege, to settle a peace in this Church and Common-wealth? Nay which of these is not greater in estate and wealth, in power and authority, then he was before the Civil Warre beganne, or shall be after the Warre ended?

Have not the Earles of *Warwicke*, *Manchester*, and others that you know much enriched themselves and freinds by the harvest of this Warre? Have not their Chaplaines *Burges*, *Sedgewicke*, *Cafe*, *Peters*, and others treble revenues and incomes, to preach Doctrines answerable to the occasion of raising money, men, or Armes, or crying no Treaty, no Peace? Doctrines suitable to their Church and practice, that have in cold blood murdered many poore Protestant *English*, *Irish*, for being on the Kings side.

While on the other part the King not delighting in blood, hath pardoned divers whom the law condemned, nay he hath proclaimed pardon to all that would take it, and to purchase Peace, he hath offered to part with his right, to divide his *Militia*, putting it into the power of twentie men, whereof he to name ten, and the two houses ten Commissioners. He desires and offers that Popery may be suppressed according to Law, and not Papists murdered because Irish. He is willing that both Church and Common wealth should be rectified according to law, and according to law he offers to have all persons to be tryed by law. He commiserating his oppressed Subjects the distracted Church and ruined Common-wealth, hath offered and desired a Cessation

from Armes; but cannot obtaine it, and then shall wee not beleive him the true father that would save the child? God would not have his Temple built by a man of blood, though even *David*; nor would Christ have his Church reformed with the blood of Christians. The King of peace cannot delight in warre.

Upon these Considerations, Cosen, I am resolved to leave their party that have misse-led me and my poore Country-men to our ruine, and I will now lay hold of the Kings mercy and pardon offered by his Proclamation, and by a hearty repentance I hope to expiate the blood, the treason, and sacrilege, I have countenanced by my former opinions, and supported by my purse and perswasions. And I doe heartily wish, that my poore Country-men and Neighbours that by an illegall presse are forced from their Wives and Children, from their parents and freinds, to fight against the English Protestant Religion and Liturgy, to set up a Scotch Directory against their King, to pay a tribute to their fellow Subjects, against their Protestation to protect such as have seduced and deceived them and their nations, that have raised this unnaturall warre upon pretences, feares and jealousies, and by the murder of many thousand English Christians keepe themselves from a legall triall, that they may still sit quietly voting at *Westminster*, and cate the fat of the land.

Cosen, I doe heartily wish that both you and the rest of my poore Country-men would consider and weigh these things, and that God would give you relenting hearts truly penitent for these horrid finnes, and then I would not doubt but you and they would end this warre (for 'tis in your powers) by returning to the English Protestant Religion and Liturgy, to your sworne allcagiance to your Anointed King,

(17)

King, and then to your owne vines and figge-trees. And no doubt but both you and they shall receive from God and the King the blessings due to the blessed peace-makers: which is heartily prayed for by him that will lead you the way,

*Fleet-streete May*

15. 1645.

A. C.

---

*FINIS.*

---



King, and then to your own vines and figs trees. And no  
doubt but that you will say that we give them God and  
the King the blessing. And so the blessed peace be  
while it is heartily prayed for by him that will lead you the

way

17. 1642

A. C.

EXYLS



REPRODUCED FROM THE COPY IN THE

HENRY E. HUNTINGTON LIBRARY

---

FOR REFERENCE ONLY. NOT FOR REPRODUCTION